## PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 8	NUMBER 8	August 2022
Editor's Note: The Summer Victoria Prussen Spears	· Reading Issue	251
Federal Infrastructure Proj	nce on Buy America Preference in ects  Bloxom and Mackenzie A. Zales	253
Business in Russia	U.S. Government Contractors Doing.  Pashkoff and Michelle Y. Francois	258
Government Using Big Data Reinvigorated Focus on Pro Pandemic Fraud Bill Morrison, Neil Issar and	-	261
Fourth Circuit's Decision B Scienter Standard Under th David W. Ogden, Kevin Lam		266
In the Courts Steven A. Meyerowitz		270



#### QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the <b>Editorial Content</b> appearing in these volumes or replease call:  Heidi A. Litman at	. 516-771-2169	
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000	
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other custome please call:	r service matters,	
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844	
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385	
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341	
Sustomer Service Website http://www.lexisnexis.com/custser		
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call		
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940	
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293	

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

ISSN: 2688-7290

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt).

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. Originally published in: 2015

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW & BENDER

# Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

#### **EDITOR-IN-CHIEF**

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

#### **EDITOR**

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS

Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

MARY BETH BOSCO
Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

PABLO J. DAVIS

Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

MERLE M. DELANCEY JR.

Partner, Blank Rome LLP

J. ANDREW HOWARD

Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT

Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

DISMAS LOCARIA

Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN

Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN

Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON

Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP

STUART W. TURNER

Counsel, Arnold & Porter

ERIC WHYTSELL

Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

WALTER A.I. WILSON

Partner Of Counsel, Dinsmore & Shohl LLP

Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report is published 12 times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright © 2022 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 9443 Springboro Pike, Miamisburg, OH 45342 or call Customer Support at 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, Floral Park, New York smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541. Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to lawyers and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, senior business executives, and anyone interested in privacy and cybersecurity related issues and legal developments. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to *Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report*, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave. 7th Floor, New York NY 10169.

### Government Using Big Data and Agency Coordination in Reinvigorated Focus on Prosecuting Widespread Pandemic Fraud

#### By Bill Morrison, Neil Issar and Alexander Clark\*

Recent reporting on government pandemic funds being used to purchase luxury items and travel has resurrected the public's outrage at what is being called "the biggest fraud in a generation." The authors of this article discuss enforcement developments arising out of pandemic fraud.

Recipients of the \$5 trillion in federal funding for programs designed to respond to the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic could soon face fresh scrutiny for non-compliance.

Recent high-profile reporting on government funds being used to purchase luxury vehicles, opulent mansions, and swanky vacations has resurrected the public's outrage at what is being called "the biggest fraud in a generation." With that renewed attention has come a reinvigorated response led by the Department of Justice ("DOJ").

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Trump administration put recipients on notice of its focus on an automatic "full review" of loans in excess of \$2 million. The Small Business Administration ("SBA") also previously issued guidance that Paycheck Protection Program ("PPP") loan recipients had to certify that the current economic uncertainty made their loan request necessary to support ongoing operations. And on May 17, 2021, Attorney General Garland established a DOJ Task Force across several partner agencies dedicated to combatting COVID-19 fraud.

<sup>\*</sup> Bill Morrison, a partner at Haynes and Boone, LLP, and co-chair of the firm's Healthcare and Life Sciences Practice Group, represents national healthcare providers in a range of litigation and compliance matters involving government enforcement, internal investigation, False Claims Act cases, and antitrust counseling. Neil Issar is an associate at the firm focusing on healthcare litigation, government investigations, and white collar defense. Alexander Clark is an associate at the firm advising businesses on resolving commercial disputes through negotiation, alternative dispute resolution, and litigation. Resident in the firm's office in Dallas, the authors may be contacted at bill.morrison@haynesboone.com, neil.issar@haynesboone.com and alex.clark@haynesboone.com, respectively.

https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/attorney-general-announces-task-force-combat-covid-19-fraud.

Those efforts to identify and prosecute fraud in programs like the PPP have been reinforced. For instance, the Biden Administration released<sup>2</sup> "unprecedented" guidance<sup>3</sup> to agencies on cooperating fully with Inspectors General. During the 2022 State of the Union<sup>4</sup> on March 1, 2022, President Biden declared that "the watchdogs are back" and that "we're going after the criminals who stole billions of relief money meant for small business and millions of Americans" and "the Justice Department will soon name a chief prosecutor for pandemic fraud."

#### **ENFORCEMENT DEVELOPMENTS**

One major development in enforcement is the Pandemic Response Accountability Committee's<sup>5</sup> ("PRAC") creation of the Pandemic Analytics Center of Excellence ("PACE") to deliver analytic, audit, and investigative support to the oversight community. As laid out<sup>6</sup> in the PRAC's strategic plan, holding wrongdoers accountable using "data analytics" remains a primary goal. DOJ Inspector General Michael Horowitz, the PRAC's Acting Chair, recently noted new efforts<sup>7</sup> to hire data scientists to use artificial intelligence to identify fraud trends, patterns, and anomalies across 17 data sets and 150 million records from public, non-public, and commercial data sources. The data scientists also analyze social media to make connections in unanticipated linkages online that can lead to uncovering fraud rings. PACE's efforts are teaching computers to recognize fraud through machine learning.

Horowitz emphasized<sup>8</sup> that the "[t]he PRAC's long-term strategy for [PACE] is *sustainment*." Relatedly, Gene Dodaro, head of the Government Accountability Office, has suggested<sup>9</sup> making the PACE permanent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> https://www.govexec.com/oversight/2021/12/white-house-releases-unprecedented-guidance-agencies-cooperating-watchdogs/187287/.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>bf 3}$  http://https/www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/M-22-04-IG-Cooperation. pdf.

<sup>4</sup> https://www.whitehouse.gov/state-of-the-union-2022/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/PRAC-Strategic Plan-July-2020.pdf.

 $<sup>{\</sup>color{blue}7}{https://www.nbcnews.com/politics/justice-department/biggest-fraud-generation-looting-covid-relief-program-known-ppp-n1279664.}$ 

 $<sup>{\</sup>color{red}^{\bf 8}} \ \, \text{https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/spotlight/using-data-intelligence-to-fight-pandemic-fraud.}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> https://fcw.com/congress/2022/03/theres-no-final-number-yet-pandemic-fraud-oversight-leaders-tell-congress/363400/.

The PRAC's 21 Inspectors General<sup>10</sup> now provide coordinated, comprehensive oversight to 426 pandemic relief programs across more than 40 agencies by collaborating on investigations with 41 investigators and 36 law enforcement partners. On March 17, 2022, Horowitz wrote<sup>11</sup> that—to date—the work of the PRAC and other Inspectors General have led to 1,272 indictments and complaints, 949 arrests, and 455 convictions.

On January 21, 2022, the PRAC published<sup>12</sup> its assessment of the SBA's Phase III fraud controls, identifying gaps that still allow fraud schemes to go undetected and advising for enhanced measures. SBA Inspector General Hannibal "Mike" Ware has said<sup>13</sup> that pandemic stimulus fraud will "likely continue to be" its top challenge "for years as the agency grapples with fraud in the programs." The SBA has consistently noted that "[m]isrepresentation of eligibility [for pandemic funds] is unlawful, and, when appropriate, these cases are referred to the Office of the Inspector General."

Although the PPP ended on May 31, 2021, existing borrowers must decide to pay back their loans or apply for loan forgiveness. Current estimates<sup>14</sup> from PRAC suggest that approximately 2.27 million loans remain unforgiven. To seek forgiveness for a PPP loan, a borrower must meet certain requirements,<sup>15</sup> such as using at least 60 percent of the loan for payroll costs. The most recent forgiveness form from the SBA, revised July 30, 2021, requires borrowers to make representations and certifications<sup>16</sup> about compliance with the program's terms and conditions.

These required certifications can be the basis of False Claims Act ("FCA") liability if it is determined that an applicant knowingly certified false compliance.<sup>17</sup> The DOJ has acknowledged<sup>18</sup> the essential role that private citizens with

https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/about-us/our-committee-members.

<sup>11</sup> https://www.hsgac.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Testimony-Horowitz-2022-03-17.pdf.

https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/sites/default/files/2022-01/SBA\_Fraud\_Controls\_Final\_02\_Jan21.pdf.

<sup>13</sup> https://fcw.com/digital-government/2022/03/biden-announces-new-anti-fraud-measures-covering-covid-relief/362682/.

<sup>14</sup> https://www.pandemicoversight.gov/data-interactive-tools/interactive-dashboards/paycheck-protection-program.

https://www.sba.gov/funding-programs/loans/covid-19-relief-options/paycheck-protection-program/ppp-loan-forgiveness.

https://www.sba.gov/sites/default/files/2021-07/PPP -- Forgiveness Application and Instructions -- 3508 %287.30.2021%29-508.pdf.

<sup>17 31</sup> U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1).

<sup>18</sup> https://www.justice.gov/opa/pr/justice-department-takes-action-against-covid-19-fraud.

knowledge of fraud against the government can play in bringing a FCA lawsuit on behalf of the United States and sharing in any recovery. Borrowers may be understandably apprehensive about these certifications due to ambiguity in the terms and conditions.

Fortunately for fund recipients, acting "knowingly" means that a defendant must have acted with "actual knowledge of the information" or in "deliberate ignorance" or "reckless disregard" of the "truth or falsity of the information." If a recipient's conduct is based on a reasonable interpretation of an ambiguous requirement in the absence of official government guidance, such conduct will not satisfy the FCA scienter requirement. As a result, recipients and their counsel can mitigate risk of FCA liability by actively reviewing all program rules, monitoring official government guidance, and developing a reasonable rationale for their interpretation of any ambiguous funding requirements.

The relevant question for borrowers is whether the DOJ could retroactively interpret some companies' retention of COVID-19 funds as "retention of an overpayment"—that is, funds the company was not entitled to retain given its economic need at the time it submitted its loan application. Such an interpretation could subject companies to "reverse false claims" liability under the FCA.

The PRAC's and the Inspector General community's ability to fight fraud in pandemic-related spending may be further enhanced by the reforms outlined in the Administrative False Claims Act of 2021, S.B. 2429, which is pending in the U.S. Senate. The proposed legislation would raise the maximum amount of a fraud claim that may be handled administratively by the government from \$150,000 to \$1,000,000—significantly expanding the scope of reviewed loans.

#### **BEST PRACTICES**

Generally speaking, best practices to mitigate FCA liability include:

- Tracking and understanding obligations created by legislation enacted in response to the COVID-19 pandemic;
- Documenting compliance with new regulations and legislation and the bases of any required certifications;
- Documenting all communications to and from the government and its agents regarding compliance; and
- Implementing and evaluating a comprehensive compliance program, which should include specific policies, procedures, and training for

**<sup>19</sup>** 31 U.S.C. § 3729(b)(1).

#### GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

corporate counsel and compliance officers.