HAYNES BOONE



Rated Note Feeders and Collateralized Fund Obligations¹

By Mei Zhang and Charles Zang

The panelists on the "Rated Note Feeders and Collateralized Fund Obligations" panel discussed the invention of the Rated Note Feeders and Collateralized Fund Obligations ("**CFO**s"), along with their structural intricacies, current regulatory landscape, the market trends and future outlook. Here are the key insights from their discussions:

- Rated Note Feeders were developed to facilitate investments in private equity funds, particularly for investors constrained by regulatory capital requirements, such as insurance companies.
- CFOs are structured transactions supported by a pool of interests in private equity funds. Insurance
 companies can leverage the debt classification of CFOs for favorable risk-based capital ("RBC") treatment,
 which, for U.S. insurance companies, depends on whether the investment is categorized as a bond under
 statutory accounting and RBC rules, benefiting from more attractive RBC charges compared to equity
 investments.
- Recent regulatory changes include (i) the adoption of a "principles-based approach" by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") for determining bond treatment (if the CFO is mainly supported by equity interests, there is the presumption that it is not a bond, which assumption can be rebutted by steady cash flow, subordination and/or overcollateralization), (ii) revisions to risk-based capital charges for asset-backed securities from 30% RBC charge to 45%, to take effect for 2024 year-end insurance company financial reporting, and (iii) potential discretion for NAIC's Securities Valuation Office ("SVO") to challenge investment ratings if the SVO determines that the assigned rating does not provide a reasonable assessment of risk for regulatory purposes.
- Despite tightening regulatory scrutiny, insurance companies remain active in the market, focusing on wellstructured deals. The clarity provided by evolving regulatory frameworks enables insurance companies to navigate investments more confidently, finding solutions to become comfortable with principles-based bond treatment.
- Rated Note Feeders and CFOs have historically enjoyed decent reception among insurance companies in North America, with emerging interest from the Korean market. However, challenges persist in the European market.

AUSTIN | CHARLOTTE | CHICAGO | DALLAS | DALLAS - NORTH | DENVER | FORT WORTH | HOUSTON | LONDON | MEXICO CITY | NEW YORK NORTHERN VIRGINIA | ORANGE COUNTY | PALO ALTO | SAN ANTONIO | SAN FRANCISCO | SHANGHAI | THE WOODLANDS | WASHINGTON, D.C.

¹ Moderated by Duncan McKay, Partner at Fried Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson LLP, the panel included the following: Robin Gibb, Associate at Maples Group, Matt Ruggiero, Partner at PJT Partners, Pramit Sheth, Head of Structured Products, at Whitehorse Liquidity Partners, Ramya Tiller, Partner at Debevoise & Plimpton LLP, and Richard Wheelahan, Managing Director and Co-Founder at Fund Finance Partners.

HAYNES BOONE

- Differential pricing among the CFO tranches is not a concern when debt and equity are bundled together as a single package. It only becomes an issue when the debt is sold separately.
- Lenders exhibit increased confidence in the debt component of Rated Note Feeders while note purchaser is getting more comfortable with principles-based bond treatment.
- While the market is getting more clarity from NAIC on the regulatory framework, discussions with insurance companies increasingly center on transaction substance rather than regulatory nuances, with a growing emphasis on large-scale deals exclusive to sponsors with strong track records.