

Daniel Geysler in HR Magazine: 'Supreme Court Clarifies Federal Jurisdiction in Employment Arbitration Case'

April 15, 2022 Daniel Geysler

PRACTICES Employment Litigation, Appellate, U.S. Supreme Court

Haynes Boone Partner [Dan Geysler](#), chair of the firm's Supreme Court Practice, was quoted in an *HR Magazine* article. Below is an excerpt:

The U.S. Supreme Court recently limited when federal courts have the authority to confirm or vacate arbitration awards. The case is complex, but the ruling could affect employment-related arbitration disputes by expanding the role of state courts.

In *Badgerow v. Walters*, the high court was asked to decide whether a federal court can review an arbitration award when the only basis for federal jurisdiction is that the underlying dispute involved a federal question. ...

Daniel Geysler, an attorney with Haynes Boone in Denver and Dallas, argued before the Supreme Court on behalf of the employee in the case. He said the decision helps clarify the jurisdictional rules for everyday filings under the Federal Arbitration Act (FAA). "This will help provide certainty—for employers and employees alike—in deciding where to litigate post-arbitration issues," he noted.

...

On behalf of the employee, Geysler argued that "there is no reason to clog the federal courts with hundreds (or thousands) of mundane FAA filings when state courts have proven more than capable in effectively doing the job."

To read the full article, click [here](#).